

Objectives

Describe the 2017 Hypertension Canada Guidelines

Discuss what's old but still important



Presenter Disclosure

- Relationships with commercial interests:
 - Grants/Research Support:
 - Speakers Bureau/Honoraria:
 - Consulting Fees:
 - Data Safety and Monitoring:



Mitigating Potential Bias

- The information presented is based on recent information that is explicitly "evidence-based".
- This presentation and all the guidelines involving clinical medicine are based on evidence that was vetted by the Hypertension Canada Guidelines Committee.


The presentation has been developed for dissemination by Hypertension Canada.



Evidence-Based Annual Guidelines

- Canada has the world's highest reported national blood pressure control rates
- Hypertension Canada is known as the most credible source for evidence-based hypertension guidelines, with annual updates, a well-validated review process and effective dissemination techniques across Canada


Prevalence, Diagnosis, Treatment, and Control of HT, age 20+. The Canadian Health Measures Survey 2007-2013. 130/80 DM, 140/90 Others



Padwal R CJC 2015 Epidemiology of HT in Canada

Prescriptions and Costs of Antihypertensives 2007-2014

Total number of prescriptions and costs of antihypertensive drugs, Canada, 2007-2014



Padwal R CJC 2015 Epidemiology of HT in Canada



2017 Hypertension Canada Guidelines

What's still important?

- The diagnosis of hypertension should be based on out-of-office measurements
- The threshold and target blood pressures are lower in those at greater risk
- The treatment of hypertension is all about reducing global cardiovascular risk
- Adopting healthy behaviours is integral to the management of hypertension
- The most important step in prescription of antihypertensive therapy is achieving patient "buy-in" and adherence



2017 Hypertension Canada Guidelines

What's new?

- New first line therapy guidelines: i) Single pill combinations have been added as a recommended first line treatment (regardless of the extent of BP elevation) and ii) Longer acting (thiazidethiazide-like) diuretics are preferred vs. shorter acting
- Updating the management of patients with hypertension secondary to renal artery stenosis
- New guidelines on the diagnosis and management of hypertension in pediatric patients (NOT the focus of this presentation)



New first line therapy guidelines in "uncomplicated" hypertension*

(*aka- patients with hypertension with no other compelling indications for more specific therapy)

Initial therapy should be with either monotherapy **or** single pill combination (SPC)

- Montherapy choices are:
 - i. a thiazide-like diuretic (Grade A), with **longer acting diuretics preferred** (Grade B),
 - ii. a β-blocker (in patients younger than 60 years; Grade B),
 - iii. an ACE inhibitor (in non-black patients; Grade B),
 - iv. a long-acting CCB (Grade B), or
 - v. an ARB (Grade B).
- SPC choices are those combinations of
 - an ACE-I with a CCB (Grade A),
 - ii. an ARB with a CCB (Grade B),
 - iii. an ACE-I **or** ARB with a diuretic (Grade B).



2017 Hypertension Canada Guidelines

What's new?

- New first line therapy guidelines: i) Longer acting (thiazide-thiazide-like) diuretics are preferred vs. shorter acting ii) Single pill combinations have been added as a recommended first line treatment (regardless of the extent of BP elevation)
- Updating the management of patients with hypertension secondary to renal artery stenosis
- **New** guidelines on the diagnosis and management of hypertension in pediatric patients (**NOT** the focus of this presentation)



Longer acting diuretics are preferred (i.e., thiazide-like are preferred to thazides)

Longer-acting (thiazide-like): chlorthalidone, indapamide

Shorter-acting (thiazides): hydrochlorothiazide



Thiazide-type (shorter acting) vs Thiazide-like Diuretics: CV events and Mortality Meta-analysis

- Design: Meta-analysis of 21 RCTs of BP lowering comparing thiazide-type or thiazide-like diuretics vs. placebo or another antihypertensive on CV events and mortality
- >500,000 person years of observation combined
- Thiazide-type:
 - HCTZ
 - Bendrofluazide
 - Chlorothiazide
- Thiazide-like:
 - Indapamide
 - Chlorthalidone



Diuretic Type Meta-Analysis vs Placebo


- <u>Both</u> types of diuretics reduced CV events, cerebrovascular events, and HF;
- Only thiazide-like diuretics additionally reduced coronary events and allcause mortality

| Event | Thiazide-Type | Thiazide-Like |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|
| CV | 0.67 (.5681) | 0.67 (0.60-0.75) |
| Coronary | 0.81 (0.63-1.05) | 0.76 (0.61-0.96) |
| Cerebrovascular | 0.52 (0.38-0.69) | 0.68 (0.57-0.80) |
| Heart Failure | 0.36 (0.16-0.84) | 0.47 (0.36-0.61) |
| All-cause Mortality | 0.86 (0.75-1.00) | 0.84 (0.74-0.96) |



Diuretic Type Meta-Analysis

 Only thiazide-like diuretics additionally reduced risk of HF, no additional difference for the other outcomes





Head to Head: HCTZ vs Chlorthalidone vs Indapamide

- Meta-analysis
- Used 3 dose levels to try to standardize dosing
 - HCTZ (12.5/25/50)
 - Chlorthalidone (6.25/12.5/25)
 - Indapamide (1.5/2.0/2.5)
 - Outcomes:
 - BP lowering
 - Metabolic
 - CV events



Head to Head: HCTZ vs Chlorthalidone vs Indapamide

- Meta-analysis
- Used 3 dose levels to try to standardize dosing
 - HCTZ (12.5/25/50)
 - Chlorthalidone (6.25/12.5/25)
 - Indapamide (1.5/2.0/2.5)

Studies

BP Lowering Metabolic effect

HCTZ vs Indap (10) HCTZ vs Indap (7)

HCTZ vs chlor (3)



Head to Head: HCTZ vs Chlorthalidone vs Indapamide

SBP reduction:

- Indapamide vs. HCTZ: −5.1 mmHg (p=0.004)
- Chlorthalidone vs. HCTZ: −3.6 mmHg (p=0.052)

Metabolic effects:

- No differences between HCTZ vs. indapamide in adverse effects (K+, Na+, Cr, BG, cholesterol, uric acid);
- no data for HCTZ vs. chlorthalidone



Chlorthalidone vs HCTZ for BP Lowering (ABPM)

- Design: 12-week RCTs (double-blind)
- **Population:** stage 1 hypertension (140 -159/ 90-99 mmHg), India (n=54)
- Intervention: chlorthalidone 6.25 vs HCTZ 12.5 vs HCTZ (ER) 12.5
- 1°outcomes: 24 h ABPM baseline to weeks 4 & 12
 - ↓ SBP & DBP with chlorthalidone and HCTZ CR (p <0.01), but not conventional HCTZ



Summary: Long-acting diuretics preferred

Long-acting (thiazide-like) diuretics appear more effective at reducing <u>CV events</u> and SBP & DBP



2017 Hypertension Canada Guidelines


What's new?

- New first line therapy guidelines: i) Longer acting (thiazide-thiazide-like) diuretics are preferred vs. shorter acting ii) Single pill combinations have been added as a recommended first line treatment (regardless of the extent of BP elevation)
- *Updating* the management of patients with hypertension secondary to renal artery stenosis
- **New** guidelines on the diagnosis and management of hypertension in pediatric patients (**NOT** the focus of this presentation)



First line recommendations circa 1999-2016

INITIAL TREATMENT




*BBs are not indicated as first line therapy for age 60 and above

Note: 2 drug therapy indicated for initial treatment only if BP > 20/100 mmHg above target



III. Treatment of Adults with Systolic/Diastolic Hypertension without Other Compelling Indications

TARGET <135/85 mmHg (automated measurement method) INITIAL TREATMENT



^{*}BBs are not indicated as first line therapy for age 60 and above


**Recommended SPC choices are those in which an ACE-I is combined with a CCB, an ARB with a CCB, or an ACE-I or ARB with a diuretic

Renin angiotensin system (RAS) inhibitors are contraindicated in pregnancy and caution is required in prescribing to women of child bearing potential



Incremental BP-Lowering Effect at Standard Doses: Combine or Double?

Incremental SBP reduction ratio observed/expected (additive)





Advantages of Single Pill Combinations

- Single pill combination therapy is associated with better adherence vs. free combinations
- A regimen featuring initial prescription of SPC leads to better blood pressure control
- Initial combination therapy is associated with \downarrow risk of cardiovascular events than monotherapy.




SPCs improve adherence

| Study or | Si | Single Pill | | | Free Equivalent | | | Mean Difference | Mean Difference | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------|--------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Subgroup | | | IV, Random, 95% CI | IV, Randor | n, 95% CI | | | | | |
| Naive patients | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brixner 2008 | 64.2 | 58.67 | 1628 | 57.6 | 30.21 | 561 | 14.2% | 6.60 [2.81, 10.39] | I | - |
| Jackson 2008 | 73.1 | 35.42 | 619 | 60.5 | 35.42 | 65 | 10.3% | 12.60 [3.55, 21.65] | I | - |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 2247 | | | 626 | 24.5% | 8.13 [3.00, 13.26] | I | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 5 | 5.47; Chi ² = | 1.44, df | = 1 (P = 0. | 23); 12 = 30 | 1% | | | 7,5 | I | |
| Test for overall effect: 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Experienced patients | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dickson 2008 | 58.6 | 35.42 | 3363 | 48.1 | 35.42 | 713 | 14.7% | 10.50 [7.64, 13.36] | I | |
| Dickson-elderly 2008 | 63.4 | 29.4 | 2336 | 49 | 23.4 | 3368 | 15.2% | 14.40 [12.97, 15.83] | I | - |
| Gerbino 2007 | 87.9 | 35.42 | 2839 | 69.2 | 35.42 | 3367 | 15.1% | 18.70 [16.93, 20.47] | I | - |
| Hess 2008 | 76.9 | 35.42 | 7224 | 54.4 | 35.42 | 7225 | 15.3% | 22.50 [21.34, 23.66] | I | - |
| Taylor 2003 | 80.8 | 35.42 | 2754 | 73.8 | 35.42 | 2978 | 15.1% | 7.00 [5.16, 8.84] | I | - |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 18516 | | | 17651 | 75.5% | 14.66 [8.97, 20.36] | I | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 4 | 41.31; Chi ² | = 236.93 | df = 4 (P | < 0.00001) | ; I2 = 989 | 6 | | | I | |
| Test for overall effect: 2 | Z = 5.05 (P) | < 0.0000 | 1) | | | | | | | |
| Total (95% CI) | | | 20763 | | | 18277 | 100.0% | 13.31 [8.26, 18.35] | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 4 | 12.94; Chi ² | = 264.57 | df = 6 (P | < 0.00001) | ; I ² = 989 | 6 | | | I | |
| Test for overall effect: 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test for subgroup differ | | | - | < 0.00001) | $ ^2 = 96.2$ | 2% | | | -20 -10 0 | 10 20 |
| | | | | | | | | | Favors free equivalents | Favors single pill |





At low doses the adverse effects of most antihypertensives approach those of placebo



Dose as a proportion of the standard dose



Usual Office BP <u>Threshold Values</u> for Initiation of Pharmacological Treatment

| Population | SBP | DBP |
|---|------|----------------|
| High Risk (SPRINT population) | ≥130 | <u>NA</u> |
| Diabetes | ≥130 | <u>></u> 80 |
| Moderate-to-high risk (TOD or CV risk factors)* | ≥140 | ≥90 |
| Low risk (no TOD or CV risk factors) | ≥160 | ≥100 |

TOD = target organ damage

*AOBP threshold > 135/85



Recommended Office BP Treatment Targets

Treatment consists of health behaviour ± pharmacological management

| Population | SBP | DBP |
|-----------------------------|-------|------|
| High Risk (SPRINT) | <120 | NA |
| Diabetes | < 130 | < 80 |
| All others (including CKD)* | < 140 | < 90 |

^{*} Target BP with AOBP < 135/85




New thresholds/targets for the high risk patient post-SPRINT: who does this apply to??

- Clinical or sub-clinical cardiovascular disease
 OR
- Chronic kidney disease (non-diabetic nephropathy, proteinuria <1 g/d, *estimated glomerular filtration rate 20-59 mL/min/1.73m²)
 OR
- †Estimated 10-year global cardiovascular risk ≥15%
 OR
- Age ≥ 75 years


Patients with one or more clinical indications should consent to intensive management.

- * Four variable MDRD equation
- [†] Framingham Risk Score, D'Agastino, Circulation 2008

Systolic BP during follow up




Primary outcome – cumulative hazard






Initial combination therapy reduces CV risk (observational study)





Initial combination therapy reduces CV risk (observational study)





In Favor of ACEI/ARB with CCB/diuretic

2 key studies identified:

HOPE-3. N Engl J Med. 2016 26;374(21):2009-20 pivotal study demonstrating the superiority of an SPC vs. placebo (ARB/diuretic)

ACCOMPLISH. N Engl J Med. 2008;359(23):2417-28. demonstration of efficacy ACE-I/CCB SPC vs. active control

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ESTABLISHED IN 1812

MAY 26, 2016

VOL. 374 NO. 21


Blood-Pressure Lowering in Intermediate-Risk Persons without Cardiovascular Disease

Eva M. Lonn, M.D., Jackie Bosch, Ph.D., Patricio López-Jaramillo, M.D., Ph.D., Jun Zhu, M.D., Lisheng Liu, M.D.,

- Design: 2x2 factorial RCT (double-blind)
- Population: intermediate-risk (no CVD); 22% had BP Rx at baseline; n=12 705
- Intervention: candesartan 16 mg/d plus HCTZ 12.5 mg/d vs. candesartan 16 mg/d plus placebo
- 1° outcomes: overall, no significant differences in first (p=0.40) or the second coprimary outcomes (p=0.51)
 - coprimary #1: CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke
 - coprimary #2: #1 plus resuscitated cardiac arrest, HF, revascularization



Heart Outcomes Protection Evaluation







HOPE - 3

- 12,705 Median follow-up 5.6 years
- Men 55+ or women 65+ with one of:
 - Elevated waist/hip
 - Low HDL
 - Smoking
 - Dysglycemia
 - FHx of CVD
 - CKD stage 3
- Women age 60+ with 2 of these



HOPE - 3 BP

- Double blinded RCT
- Placebo controlled
- 228 centres in 21 countries
- 2 x 2 factorial design
- Fixed dose of Candesartan/HCTZ (16/12.5)
 or placebo
- Rosuvastatin 10 vs placebo



HOPE - 3

| Dogwoodatin | Candesarta | Rosuvastatin | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| Rosuvastatin | Active | Placebo | Margins |
| Active | Rosuvastatin Active/ Candesartan/HCTZ Active n=3,180 | Rosuvastatin Active/ Candesartan/HCTZ Placebo n=3,181 | Rosuvastatin Active n=6,361 |
| Placebo | Rosuvastatin Placebo/ Candesartan/HCTZ Active n=3,176 | Rosuvastatin Placebo/ Candesartan/HCTZ Placebo n=3,168 | Rosuvastatin Placebo n=6,344 |
| Candesartan/HCTZ Margins | Candesartan/HCTZ Active n=6,356 | Candesartan/HCTZ Placebo n=6,349 | |



HOPE - 3

Candesartan/HCTZ Candesartan/HCTZ
Active Placebo
n=6,356 n=6,349



BP Change in HOPE - 3 BP

| | Active-Placebo |
|----------------|----------------|
| Change from BL | 6.0/3.0 mmHg |

- 1/3 at baseline had a history of hypertension and 22% were on antihypertensives at baseline.
- Annual event rates were 0.8% vs 2.1% in ACCORD and 2.2% in SPRINT.

A First Coprimary Outcome

| Subgroup | Mean Systolic Blood Pressure | in Blood Pressure | Candesartan+ Hydrochlorothiazide no. of events/total no | | Hazard Ratio (95% C |) | P Value for Trend |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| . " | | | | | _ ' | | |
| Overall | 138.1 | 6.0/3.0 | 260/6356 (4.1) | 279/6349 (4.4) | | 0.93 (0.79-1.10) | _ |
| Systolic blood pressure | | | | | | | 0.02 |
| ≤131.5 mm Hg | 122.2 | 6.1/3.1 | 70/2080 (3.4) | 62/2122 (2.9) | | 1.16 (0.82-1.63) | |
| 131.6-143.5 mm Hg | 137.6 | 5.6/2.7 | 87/2120 (4.1) | 81/2141 (3.8) | | 1.08 (0.80-1.46) | |
| >143.5 mm Hg | 154.1 | 5.8/3.0 | 103/2156 (4.8) | 136/2084 (6.5) | | 0.73 (0.56-0.94) | |
| | | | | | 0.5 1.0 | 2.0 | |
| | | | | | — | - | |
| | | | | | Candesartan+ Placebo | | |
| | | | | Ну | drochlorothiazide Better | | |
| | | | | • | Better | | |

B Second Coprimary Outcome

| Subgroup | Mean Systolic Blood Pressure mm | in Blood Pressure | Candesartan+ Hydrochlorothiazide no. of events/total no | | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | | P Value for Trend |
|-------------------------|--|----------------------|---|----------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Overall | 138.1 | 6.0/3.0 | 312/6356 (4.9) | 328/6349 (5.2) | - | 0.95 (0.81-1.11) | _ |
| Systolic blood pressure | | | | | İ | | 0.009 |
| ≤131.5 mm Hg | 122.2 | 6.1/3.1 | 90/2080 (4.3) | 74/2122 (3.5) | - | 1.25 (0.92-1.70) | |
| 131.6-143.5 mm Hg | 137.6 | 5.6/2.7 | 99/2120 (4.7) | 98/2141 (4.6) | _ | 1.02 (0.77-1.34) | |
| >143.5 mm Hg | 154.1 | 5.8/3.0 | 123/2156 (5.7) | 156/2084 (7.5) | | 0.76 (0.60-0.96) | |
| | | | | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | |
| | Candesartan+ Placebo Hydrochlorothiazide Better Better | | | | | | |

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ESTABLISHED IN 1812

DECEMBER 4, 2008

VOL. 359 NO. 23

Benazepril plus Amlodipine or Hydrochlorothiazide for Hypertension in High-Risk Patients

Kenneth Jamerson, M.D., Michael A. Weber, M.D., George L. Bakris, M.D., Björn Dahlöf, M.D., Bertram Pitt, M.D.,

- Design: RCT (double-blind)
- **Population:** high-risk; 97% had BP Rx at baseline; n=11 506
- Intervention: benazepril plus amlodipine vs.

benazepril plus HCTZ

- 1° outcome: CV death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, hosp. for angina, resuscitation after cardiac arrest, and coronary revasc.
 - Terminated early after mean follow-up of 36 m




Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier Curves for Time to First Primary Composite End Point.

There were 552 patients with events (9.6%) in the benazepril-amlodipine group, as compared with 679 patients with events (11.8%) in the benazepril-hydrochlorothiazide group. The relative risk reduction was 20% (hazard ratio, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.90; P<0.001).

 Benazepril—amlodipine superior to benazepril-HCTZ in reducing MACE




Initial SPC therapy improves BP control rates: STITCH Study

- Cluster randomized controlled trial hypertension in family practices
- Simplified algorithm featuring initial therapy with low-dose antihypertensive single drug combination, compared with conventional guideline-based care
- Low-dose by splitting usual starting dose in half
- Practitioners randomly assigned to use STITCH care or usual stepwise management according to CHEP guidelines



STITCH study: Results

BP targets achieved at 6 months



P = 0.026


Relative difference: 23%

95% CI 1.5-22.4%

Absolute difference: 12.0%

Feldman RD, et al. *Hypertension*. 2009;53(4):646-653

STITCH algorithm: initiating RX with a low dose SPC (Simplified Treatment Intervention To Control Hypertension)





2017 Hypertension Canada Guidelines

What's new?

- New first line therapy guidelines: i) Longer acting (thiazide-like)
 diuretics are preferred vs. shorter acting (thiazides) ii) Single pill
 combinations have been added as a recommended first line
 treatment (regardless of the extent of BP elevation)
- *Updating* the management of patients with hypertension secondary to renal artery stenosis



2017 Hypertension Canada Guidelines

What's still important?

- The diagnosis of hypertension should be based on out-of-office measurements
- The threshold and target blood pressures are lower in those at greater risk
- The treatment of hypertension is all about reducing global cardiovascular risk
- Adopting healthy behaviours is integral to the management of hypertension
- The most important step in prescription of antihypertensive therapy is achieving patient "buy-in" and adherence

Thank you!





Diagnosis of renovascular hypertension-1

Patients with hypertension and presenting with at least one of the following clinical clues should be investigated for fibromuscular dysplasia (FMD) related renal artery stenosis (RAS) (Grade D):

- Age < 30 years;
- Failure to reach BP target despite use of 3 or more drugs;
- Significant (>1.5cm), unexplained asymmetry in kidney sizes;
- Abdominal bruit without apparent atherosclerosis;
- FMD in another vascular territory;
- Positive family history for FMD.



Diagnosis of renovascular hypertension-2

In patients with confirmed renal FMD (Grade D):

- Screening for cervicocephalic lesions and intracranial aneurysm is recommended.
- Screening for FMD in other vascular beds in the presence of suggestive symptoms is recommended.

The following tests are recommended to screen for renal FMD (both with similar sensitivity and specificity) (Grade D):

magnetic resonance angiography OR computed tomography angiography.



2017 Hypertension Canada Guidelines


What's still important?

- The diagnosis of hypertension should be based on out-of-office measurements
- The threshold and target blood pressures are lower in those at greater risk
- The treatment of hypertension is all about reducing global cardiovascular risk
- Adopting healthy behaviours is integral to the management of hypertension
- The most important step in prescription of antihypertensive therapy is achieving patient "buy-in" and adherence



Hypertension Diagnostic Algorithm

- **1. Out of office** assessment is the preferred means of hypertension Dx
- **2. Measurement using electronic** (oscillometric) upper arm devices is preferred over auscultation




Elevated BP Reading (office, home or pharmacy)

ABPM: Ambulatory Blood Pressure Measurement

AOBP: Automated Office Blood Pressure

Out of office assessment is the preferred means of diagnosing hypertension








Out-of-Office BP Measurements

- ABPM has better predictive ability than OBPM and is the recommended out-of-office measurement method.
- HBPM has better predictive ability than OBPM and is recommended if ABPM is not tolerated, not readily available or due to patient preference.
- Identifies white coat hypertension and masked hypertension.




Out-of-Office BP Measurements are More Highly Correlated with BP-Related Risk





White Coat and Masked Hypertension





Criteria for the Diagnosis of Masked Hypertension

| | BP (mm Hg) |
|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Office BP Automated OBP | < 140/90 135/85 |
| Awake Ambulatory | ≥ 135/85 |
| 24-hour Ambulatory BP | ≥ 130/80 |



Prevalence of Masked Hypertension

about

10% in the general population

about

30% in treated hypertensive patients*

higher


in patients with

diabetes

and

chronic kidney


disease patients



One out of three treated hypertensive patients has masked hypertension



The Prognosis of White Coat and Masked Hypertension





Office BP Measurement

 Automated office blood pressure (AOBP) is the preferred method of performing in-office BP measurement.





Automated Office BP Measurement

 More closely approximates ABPM than routine office BPs (mitigates white coat effect).

Beckett L et al , BMC Cardiovasc. Disord. 2005; 5: 18; Myers MG et al, J. Hypertens. 2009; 27: 280; Myers MG, et al. BMJ 2011; 342: d286.

 Is more predictive of end organ damage (LVMI, proteinuria and cIMT), similar to ABPM

Campbell NRC, et al. J Hum Hypertens 2007;21:588-90; Andreadis EA, et al. Am J Hypertens 2011;24:661-6; Andreadis EA, et al. Am J Hypertens 2012;25:969-73.



2017 Hypertension Canada Guidelines

What's still important?

- The diagnosis of hypertension should be based on out-of-office measurements
- The threshold and target blood pressures are lower in those at greater risk
- The treatment of hypertension is all about reducing global cardiovascular risk
- Adopting healthy behaviours is integral to the management of hypertension
- The most important step in prescription of antihypertensive therapy is achieving patient "buy-in" and adherence



Usual Office BP <u>Thresholds</u> for Initiation of Pharmacological Treatment

| Population | SBP | DBP |
|---|--------------|----------------|
| High Risk (SPRINT population) | ≥130 | <u>NA</u> |
| Diabetes | <u>≥</u> 130 | <u>≥</u> 80 |
| Moderate-to-high risk (TOD or CV risk factors)* | <u>≥</u> 140 | <u>></u> 90 |
| Low risk (no TOD or CV risk factors) | <u>≥</u> 160 | ≥100 |

TOD = target organ damage

*AOBP threshold ≥135/85



Recommended Office BP Treatment <u>Targets</u>

Treatment consists of health behaviour ± pharmacological management

| Population | SBP | DBP |
|-------------|-------|------|
| High Risk | ≤120 | NA |
| Diabetes | < 130 | < 80 |
| All others* | < 140 | < 90 |

^{*} Target BP with AOBP < 135/85



New Guideline Post-SPRINT

For high-risk patients, aged ≥ 50 years, with systolic BP levels >/=130 mm Hg, intensive management to target a systolic BP </=120 mm Hg should be considered.

Intensive management should be guided by automated office BP measurements.

Patient selection for intensive management is recommended and caution should be taken in certain high-risk groups.



New Thresholds/Targets for the High Risk Patient Post-SPRINT: who does this apply to??

- Clinical or sub-clinical cardiovascular disease
 OR
- Chronic kidney disease (non-diabetic nephropathy, proteinuria <1 g/d, *estimated glomerular filtration rate 20-59 mL/min/1.73m²)
 OR
- †Estimated 10-year global cardiovascular risk ≥15%
 OR
- Age ≥ 75 years

Patients with one or more clinical indications should consent to intensive management.

- * Four variable MDRD equation
- [†] Framingham Risk Score, D'Agastino, Circulation 2008



New Thresholds/Targets for the High Risk Patient Post-SPRINT: who does this NOT apply to??

Limited or No Evidence:

- Heart failure (EF <35%) or recent MI (within last 3 months)
- Indication for, but not currently receiving a beta-blocker
- Frail or institutionalized elderly

Inconclusive Evidence:

- Diabetes mellitus
- Prior stroke
- eGFR < 20 ml/min/1.73m²

Contraindications:

- Patient unwilling or unable to adhere to multiple medications
- Standing SBP <110 mmHg
- Inability to measure SBP accurately
- Known secondary cause(s) of hypertension




2017 Hypertension Canada Guidelines

What's still important?

- The diagnosis of hypertension should be based on out-of-office measurements
- The threshold and target blood pressures are lower in those at greater risk
- The treatment of hypertension is all about reducing global cardiovascular risk
- Adopting healthy behaviours is integral to the management of hypertension
- The most important step in prescription of antihypertensive therapy is achieving patient "buy-in" and adherence




Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Hypertensive Patients





Impact of Discussing CAD Risk for Patients With Hypertension

Informing Patients of Their Global Risk improves BP Control Cardiovascular Age™ www.myhealthcheckup.com







RRR of Combination and Each Intervention vs Double Placebo











Vascular Protection: Statins for High Risk Hypertensive Patients

Statins are recommended in high risk hypertensive patients based on having established atherosclerotic disease or at least 3 of the following:

- Male
- 55 y or older
- Smoking
- Type 2 Diabetes
- Total-C/HDL-C ratio of 6 or higher
- Premature Family History of CV disease

- Previous Stroke or TIA
- LVH
- ECG abnormalities
- Albuminuria or CKD
- Peripheral Vascular Disease

The Treatment of Hypertension is All About Vascular Protection

Not discussed at Rec Committee, but HOPE 3 could be added as per extra slide at the end



Low dose ASA in hypertensive patients is recommended for patients >50 years

Caution should be exercised if BP is not controlled.



Strong Evidence for Vascular Protection: Smoking Cessation

- Tobacco use status of all patients should be updated on a regular basis and health care providers should clearly advise patients to quit smoking.
- Advice in combination with pharmacotherapy (e.g., varenicline, bupropion, nicotine replacement therapy) should be offered to all smokers with a goal of smoking cessation.



What's still important?

- The diagnosis of hypertension should be based on out-of-office measurements
- The threshold and target blood pressures are lower in those at greater risk
- The treatment of hypertension is all about reducing global cardiovascular risk
- Adopting healthy behaviours is integral to the management of hypertension
- The most important step in prescription of antihypertensive therapy is achieving patient "buy-in" and adherence



Health Behaviour Management

| Intervention | Target |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Reduce foods with added sodium | → 2000 mg /day |
| Weight loss | BMI <25 kg/m ² |
| Alcohol restriction | ≤ 2 drinks/day |
| Physical activity | 30-60 minutes 4-7 days/week |
| Dietary patterns | DASH diet |
| Smoking cessation | Smoke-free environment |
| Waist circumference | Men < 102 cm Women < 88 cm |
| Potassium supplementation | NEW RECOMMENDATION IN 2016 |



Health Behaviours: potassium intake

• In patients *not* at risk of hyperkalemia, increase dietary potassium intake to reduce blood pressure.



What's still important?

- The diagnosis of hypertension should be based on out-of-office measurements
- The threshold and target blood pressures are lower in those at greater risk
- The treatment of hypertension is all about reducing global cardiovascular risk
- Adopting healthy behaviours is integral to the management of hypertension
- The most important step in prescription of antihypertensive therapy is achieving patient "buy-in" and adherence



Adherence in Hypertensive Patients

Adherence Can Be Improved by a Multi-Pronged Approach

- Educate patients and patients' families about their disease/ treatment regimens verbally and in writing
- Use an interdisciplinary care approach coordinating with work-site health care givers and pharmacists if available
- Healthcare practitioner-based telephone contact, particularly, over the first three months of therapy
- Encourage greater patient responsibility/autonomy in regular monitoring of their blood pressure



Adherence in Hypertensive Patients-II

Adherence Can Be Improved by a Multi-Pronged Approach

- Assess adherence to pharmacological and health behaviour therapies at every visit
- Teach patients to take their pills on a regular schedule associated with a routine daily activity e.g. brushing teeth.
- Simplify medication regimens using long-acting once-daily dosing
- Utilize single pill combinations
- Utilize unit-of-use packaging e.g. blister packaging



What's new?

- New first line therapy guidelines: i) Single pill combinations
 have been added as a recommended first line treatment
 (regardless of the extent of BP elevation) and ii) Longer acting
 (thiazide-thiazide-like) diuretics are preferred vs. shorter acting
- Updating the management of patients with hypertension secondary to renal artery stenosis
- **New** guidelines on the diagnosis and management of hypertension in pediatric patients (**NOT** the focus of this presentation)



What's still important?


- The diagnosis of hypertension should be based on out-of-office measurements
- The threshold and target blood pressures are lower in those at greater risk
- The treatment of hypertension is all about reducing global cardiovascular risk
- Adopting healthy behaviours is integral to the management of hypertension
- The most important step in prescription of antihypertensive therapy is achieving patient "buy-in" and adherence



hypertension.ca

For patients:

 Free access to the latest information and resources




For professionals:

- Accredited 15.5 hour interdisciplinary training program
- Free monthly news updates, featured research and educational resources
- Become a member for special privileges and savings



Backup Slides

Incidence of cardiovascular end-points in tertiles of systolic BP at entry (placebo group)





AOBP More Closely Approximates ABP Than Routine Office BP

| | Mean blood pressure* (mmHg) | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Centre for Studies in Primary Care ₁ | ABPM referral unit ₂ | CAMBO trial ₃ | |
| Routine manual office BP | 151/83 | 152/87 | 150/81 | |
| Automated office BP | 140/80 | 132/75 | 135/77 | |
| Awake ambulatory BP | 142/80 | 134/77 | 133/74 | |

^{*}The automated office blood pressure (BP) and awake ambulatory BP were similar, and both were lower than the routine manual BP obtained in community practice.

^{1.} Beckett L et al , BMC Cardiovasc. Disord. 2005; 5: 18. **2.** Myers MG et al, J. Hypertens. 2009; 27: 280. **3.** Myers MG, et al. BMJ 2011; 342: d286.

Daytime ambulatory and well-performed office based automated measures are similar

| Study, First Author | N | Type of Blood Pressure Measurement (mm Hg) | | | |
|-------------------------|------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Routine Clinical Practice | Research Quality Office | Automated Office | Mean Awake Ambulatory |
| Myers ⁷ | 147 | 146/87 | 140/83 | | 132/78 |
| Brown ⁸ | 611 | 161/95 | 152/85 | | 139/82 |
| Myers ⁹ | 309 | 152/87 | 140/80 | 132/75 | 134/77 |
| Graves ¹⁰ | 104 | 152/84 | 138/74 | 136/79 | |
| Gustavsen ¹¹ | 420 | 165/104 | 156/100 | | 147/96 |
| Beckett12 | 481 | 151/83 | | 140/80 | 142/80 |
| Dawes ¹³ | 5918 | 164/96 | | | 149/90 |

Myers MG. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2014;41:46-53 Myers MG, et al. Hypertension 2010;55:195-200